Massachusetts Office of the Inspector General

Model Designer Selection Procedures for Municipalities

August 2001

©2001 by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Office of the Inspector General. All rights reserved.

The designer selection law, M.G.L. c. 7, §§38A½-O, requires municipalities to adopt written designer selection procedures which must be used when contracting for design services for building projects estimated to exceed \$100,000.¹ These procedures must comply with the "purposes and intent" of the designer selection law and must include certain required provisions. M.G.L. c. 7, §38K(a).

The Office of the Inspector General has drafted these model procedures to assist municipalities in developing their own. These procedures closely track the statutory language in the designer selection law and incorporate recent appellate court opinions.² This Office recommends that municipalities also consult our manual, *Designing and Constructing Public Facilities*, October 2000 ed., for further guidance on how to implement these procedures.

[Name of Municipality]

Designer Selection Procedures

(Adopted [date])

- 1. These procedures govern the selection of designers for any municipal building project subject to the state designer selection law, M.G.L. c. 7, §38A1/2-O. Any other local law governing the procurement of services will be inapplicable to these procurements.
- 2. The [name of authorized governmental unit, e.g. Board of Selectmen, City Council, hereinafter referred to as the "approving body"] has the authority to conduct the designer selection process for [city/town]. The [approving body] may delegate any duties described herein to the extent such delegation is permissible by law.

3. The [*approving body*] shall designate the individual or group of individuals (hereinafter referred to as "the Committee") who will conduct the designer selection process.

No member of the Committee shall participate in the selection of a designer for any project if the member, or any of the member's immediate family:

- a. has a direct or indirect financial interest in the award of the design contract to any applicant;
- b. is currently employed by, or is a consultant to or under contract to, any applicant;
- c. is negotiating or has an arrangement concerning future employment or contracting with any applicant; or
- d. has an ownership interest in, or is an officer or director of, any applicant.
- 4. A Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for each contract subject to these procedures shall be advertised in a newspaper of general circulation in the locality of the building project, in the *Central Register* published by the Secretary of the Commonwealth, and in any other place required by the [approving body], at least two weeks before the deadline for filing applications.
- 5. The advertisement shall contain the following information:
 - a. a description of the project, including the specific designer services sought, the estimated construction cost, and the time period within which the project is to be completed;
 - b. if there is a program for the building project, a statement of when and where the program will be available for inspection by applicants;
 - c. when and where a briefing session (if any) will be held;
 - d. the qualifications required of applicants;
 - e. the categories of designers' consultants, if any, for which applicants must list names of consultants they may use;
 - f. whether the fee has been set or will be negotiated; if the fee has been set, the amount of the fee must be listed in the advertisement;
 - g. when and where the the RFQ can be obtained and the applications must be delivered.

- 6. The RFQ shall include the <u>Application to Designer Selection Committee Form</u>. The Application Form may be amended to include additional information on a project-specific basis.
- 7. The Committee shall evaluate applicants based on the following criteria:
 - a. prior similar experience;
 - b. past performance on public and private projects;
 - c. financial stability;
 - d. identity and qualifications of the consultants who will work with the applicants on the project;
 - e. any other criteria that the Committee considers relevant to the project.
- 8. The Committee shall select at least three finalists. Finalists may be required to appear for an interview or provide additional information to the Committee, provided that all finalists are afforded an equal opportunity to do so.
- 9. The Committee shall rank the finalists in order of qualification and transmit the list of ranked finalists to the [approving body].³ No person or firm, including applicants' listed consultants, debarred pursuant to M.G.L. c. 149, §44C shall be included as a finalist on the list.
 - The list must be accompanied by a written explanation of the reasons for selection including the recorded vote, if any. The written explanation and recorded vote, if any, shall be public records and shall be maintained in the contract file.
- 10. If the fee was set prior to the selection process, the [approving body] shall select a designer from the list of finalists. If the [approving body] selects a designer other than the one ranked first by the Committee, the [approving body] shall file a written justification for the selection with the Committee and maintain a copy in the contract file.
- 11. If the fee is to be negotiated, the [approving body] shall review the list of finalists and may exclude any designer from the list if a written explanation of the exclusion is filed with the Committee and maintained in the contract file. The [approving body] shall request a fee proposal from the first ranked designer remaining on the list and begin contract negotiations. If the [approving body] is unable to negotiate a satisfactory fee with the first ranked designer, negotiations shall be terminated and undertaken with the remaining designers, one at a time, in order in which they were ranked by the Committee until agreement is reached. In no event may a fee be negotiated which is higher than the maximum fee set by the [approving body] prior to

selection of finalists.

- 12. If the [approving body] is unable to negotiate a satisfactory fee with any of the finalists, the [approving body] shall recommend that the Committee select additional finalists.
- 13. The [city/town] may allow a designer who conducted a feasibility study to continue with the project design, provided that an independent review by a knowledgeable and competent individual or firm doing such work finds the feasibility designer's work to be reasonable and adequate. The [city/town] must first advertise for the feasibility study. The [city/town] may include a statement in the advertisement and/or RFQ that the feasibility study designer is eligible to compete for the subsequent design services contract. Once the study is complete, the [city/town] must publish a second advertisement for the project design. If the feasibility designer is selected, the [city/town] must commission the independent review prior to allowing the designer to proceed with the work.
- 14. Every contract for design services shall include the following:
 - a. certification that the designer or construction manager has not given, offered, or agreed to give any person, corporation, or other entity any gift, contribution or offer of employment as an inducement for, or in connection with, the award of the contract for design services;
 - b. certification that no consultant to, or subcontractor for, the designer or construction manager has given, offered, or agreed to give any gift, contribution, or offer of employment to the designer or construction manager, or to any other person, corporation, or entity as an inducement for, or in connection with, the award to the consultant or subcontractor of a contract by the designer or construction manager;
 - c. certification that no person, corporation, or other entity, other than a bona fide full-time employee of the designer or construction manager, has been retained or hired by the designer or construction manager to solicit for or in any way assist the designer or construction manager in obtaining the contract for design services upon an agreement or understanding that such person, corporation, or other entity be paid a fee or other consideration contingent upon the award of the contract to the designer; and
 - d. certification that the designer has internal accounting controls as required by M.G.L. c. 30, §39R(c) and that the designer has filed and will continue to file an audited financial statement as required by M.G.L. c. 30, §39R(d).
 - e. All fees shall be stated in design contracts, and in any subsequent amendments thereto, as a total dollar amount. Contracts may provide for equitable adjustments in the event of changes in scope or services.
- 15. The [city/town] shall not enter into a contract for design services unless the [city/town] or the

designer has obtained professional liability insurance covering negligent errors, omissions, and acts of the designer or of any person or business entity for whose performance the designer is legally liable arising out of the performance of the contract. The total amount of such insurance shall at a minimum equal the lesser of one million dollars or ten percent of the project's estimated cost of construction, or such larger amounts as the [city/town] may require, for the applicable period of limitations. A designer required by the [city/town] to obtain all or a portion of such insurance coverage at its own expense shall furnish a certificate or certificates of insurance coverage to the [city/town] prior to the award of the contract.

- 16. Every contract for design services shall include a provision that the designer or its consultants shall not be compensated for any services involved in preparing changes that are required for additional work that should have been anticipated by the designer in the preparation of the bid documents, as reasonably determined by the individual responsible for administering the design contract.
- 17. In the event of an emergency that precludes the normal use of these designer selection procedures, the [approving body] may elect to authorize expedited procedures to address the emergency. The [approving body] shall document in writing the reasons for the emergency declaration, the proposed scope of work, the estimated cost of construction, the established fee for the needed design services, and any other relevant information.

The [approving body] may select three finalists from any standing list of designers who have applied for projects of a similar nature, or may otherwise select three designers to be considered as finalists for the project. The [approving body] shall rank the finalists in order of qualification and select the designer for the emergency work.

- 18. The [*city/town*] shall publish the name of any designer awarded a contract in the *Central Register*.
- 19. The following records shall be kept by the [city/town]:
 - a. all information supplied by or obtained about each applicant;
 - b. all actions taken relating to the project; and
 - c. any other records related to designer selection.

All records shall be available for inspection by the state Designer Selection Board and other authorized agencies.

20. The [city/town] shall evaluate designers' performance on contracts in accordance with M.G.L. c. 7, §38E(g).

ENDNOTES

- 1. Design services include the preparation of master plans, feasibility and other studies, surveys, soil tests, cost estimates and programs; preparation of drawings, plans, and specifications, including schematic drawings and preliminary plans and specifications; supervision or administration of a construction contract; and construction management and scheduling. M.G.L. c. 7, §38A½.
- 2. LeClair v. Norwell, 430 Mass. 328 (1999); Cape Ann Citizens Assn. v. Gloucester, 47 Mass. App. Ct. 17 (1999).
- 3. Alternatively, local procedures could require that the Committee select the designer and negotiate the fee, if necessary, and transmit its recommendation to the approving body.

©2001 Office of the Inspector General, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. All rights reserved.

[Top]
[Massachusetts Inspector General's Home Page]
[Massachusetts Home Page]

Revised August 28, 2001